Subject Description Form

Subject Code	APSS611			
Subject Title	Epistemology of Social Work Knowledge			
Credit Value	3			
Level	6			
Pre-requisite / Co-requisite/ Exclusion	Nil			
Assessment Methods	100% Continuous Assessment	Individual Assessment	Group Assessment	
	1. Review Essay	20%	0%	
	2. Class Participation	10%	0%	
	3. Seminar presentation	20%	0%	
	4. Term paper	50%	0%	
	 The grade is calculated according to the percentage assigned; The completion and submission of all component assignments are required for passing the subject; and Student must pass all components (standard of passing) if he/she is to pass the subject. 			
Objectives	The primary objective of this course is the study of knowledge in social work. It organized around understanding and analyzing the conditions, sources, structur justification and limitation of the knowledge systems of social work. Social work is the profession committed to the pursuit of social justice and to the enhancement of people's quality of life. In keeping with these profession commitments, this course is also designed to assist students in articulating and developing their own "personal epistemology", and to integrate their knowledge in moral framework for the service of their clients. The objectives of this course can be divided in two levels, <i>know it</i> (1 to 3) and <i>know thou</i> (4 to 6), as follows:			
	1. Describe and analyze the epistemological standards of different paradigms o social work knowledge.			
	2. Understand and analyze the basic epistemological criteria for evaluating social work goals and outcomes.			
	3. Evaluate and respond to the cu	rrent debates on the knowl	edge of social work.	

	4. Understand and evaluate the relationship between social worker and social worker theories, and the interaction between social worker (as the "knower") and clies in the construction of knowledge in social work interventions.					
	 Identify and critically reflect on our own epistemological stances in social work knowledge. 				social work	
	6. Explore the direction of in	ndigenization of	of social w	ork know	ledge in o	ur culture.
Intended Learning Outcomes	Upon completion of the subject, students will be able to:					
outcomes	 a. Understand the epistemological base of social work practice and the links between theory and practice in social work. b. Appreciate how professional practice is informed by formal theories, propositional knowledge, and how it is grounded in the practice wisdom that is embedded in specific intellectual and social contexts. c. Critically examine the nature of social work as a form of moral practice and the epistemology that underpins its moral values. d. Integrate the knowledge and values in an intellectual framework that can contribute to the consolidation of professional competency. 			I theories, visdom that practice and		
Subject Synopsis/ Indicative Syllabus	 Brief introduction: Knowledge, science and social work Empiricism and social work Critiques of empiricism and beyond: Relevance for social work Alternatives (1): Postmodernism and social work Alternatives (2): Hermeneutics and social work Alternatives (3): Critical realism and social work Practice wisdom, embodiment and social work 					
Teaching/Learning Methodology	The works and ideas of important philosophers in epistemology will be made accessible and personally relevant to students new to the discipline. The teachers will offer succinct exposition of the major themes of the selected issues in epistemology. The teacher will also lead class discussions of the selected issues, utilizing and expanding on the insights of the philosophers from the diverse perspectives of epistemology. Students will be expected to read extensively, to discuss and debate with the classmates and teachers. They need to read the important works, apply the insights to the issues and share ideas in discussions. Students are also expected to prepare for attend and participate in all seminars.			eachers will istemology.		
				insights to		
Assessment Methods in Alignment with Intended Learning	Specific assessment methods/tasks	% weighting	Intended to be ass		earning ou	tcomes
Intended Learning Outcomes			а	b	с	d
	1. Review Essay	20%	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark

	- 1 - 1	T	T	1	1	r	
	2. Class Participation	10%	\checkmark	\checkmark	~	~	
	3. Seminar Presentation	20%	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	
	4. Term Paper	50%	~	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	
	Total	100%					
	Explanation of the appropriateness of the assessment methods in assessing the intended learning outcomes:						
	Review Essay Please review a social work journal article on social work knowledge published after 2012. Write a report of not more than 2000 words and discuss the epistemological framework of the author(s).						
	Class Participation Students will need to critically appraise the assigned readings and present to other class members for discussion. Students also need to participate actively in seminar discussion.						
	Seminar Presentation Students will choose a topic from the syllabus and present in the seminar group. Students will be judged on the quality of the literature review, organization and originality of arguments, and the ability to analyze.						
	Term Paper Based on the presentation, an essay (3500 words) has to be submitted at the end of the semester. Students need to well articulate their views of the topic and present a well-organized analysis after critical examination of epistemology and its relationship to knowledge building in social work.						
Student Study	Class contact:						
Effort Expected	Lecture			24 Hrs.			
	Seminar				15 Hrs.		
	Other student study effort:						
	 Self-study (3 hrs x 13 weeks) 			39 Hrs.			
	 Preparation for Seminar Presentation 			15 Hrs.			
	 Preparation for Term Paper and Review Essay 			24 Hrs.			
	Total student study effort					117 Hrs.	
	Essential						
Reading List and References	<u>Essential</u>						

	Critical realisminterventions). London; New York: Routledge.
	Alvesson, M. (2002). <i>Postmodernism and social research</i> (Understanding social research). Buckingham [England]; Philadelphia: Open University Press.
	Chu, W., & Tsui, M. (2008). The nature of practice wisdom in social work revisited. International Social Work, 51(1), 47-54.
I	Hacking, I. (2002). Historical ontology. Cambridge, Mass.: Harvard University Press.
I	Ho, Yuk-Ying & Yuen, Sun-pong (eds.) (2010). <i>Reconstitution of social work:</i> <i>Towards a moral conception of social work practice.</i> Singapore: World Scientific Publishing Company.
I	Kirk, S. & Reid, D. (2001). <i>Science and social work: A critical approach</i> . New York: Columbia University Press.
I	Kjørstad, M., & Solem, M. (2018). Critical realism for welfare professions (Routledge advances in social work). Abingdon, Oxon; New York, NY: Routledge.
I	Packer, M. (2018). <i>The science of qualitative research</i> (Second ed.). Cambridge, United Kingdom; New York, NY: Cambridge University Press.
I	Reamer, Frederic G. (1993). <i>The philosophical foundations of social work</i> . New York: Columbia University Press.
I	Richardson, F., Fowers, B., & Guignon, C. (1999). <i>Re-envisioning psychology: Moral dimensions of theory and practice</i> (1st ed.). San Francisco, Calif.: Jossey-Bass.
S	Sayer, R. (2000). Realism and social science. London: SAGE.
S	Shaw, I. (2016). Social work science. New York: Columbia University Press.
5	Steup, Matthias (2005). Epistemology (plato.stanford.edu/entries/epistemology/#MRE)
	Taylor, Charles (1985). Philosophy and the human sciences. NY: Cambridge University Press.
3	賀玉英, 阮新邦 編 (2004). 《 <i>詮釋取向的社會工作實踐</i> 》. 新加坡: 八方文化創作 室.
5	Supplementary
	Caputo, Richard, Epstein, William, Stoesz, David & Thyer, Bruce. (2015). Postmodernism: A Dead End in Social Work Epistemology, Journal of Social Work Education, 51:4, 638-647, DOI: 0.1080/10437797.2015.1076260
I	Dreyfus, H., & Dreyfus, Stuart E. (1986). Mind over machine: The power of human

induitiers and emeratics in the same of the secondary Mary Varle Dec.
intuition and expertise in the era of the computer. New York: Free Press.
Fay, B. (1975). Social theory and political practice (Controversies in sociology; 1). London: Allen & Unwin.
Garrow, E., & Hasenfeld, Y. (2017). The Epistemological Challenges of Social Work Intervention Research. Research on Social Work Practice, 27(4), 494-502.
Hacking, I. (1999). The social construction of what? Cambridge MA: Harvard University Press.
Samson, Patricia L. (2015). Practice wisdom: the art and science of social work, Journal of Social Work Practice, 29:2, 119-131, DOI: 10.1080/02650533.2014.922058
Schon, Donald A. (1983). The reflective practitioner: How professionals think in action. USA: Basic Books.
Taylor, C. (1995). <i>Philosophical arguments</i> . Cambridge, Mass.: Harvard University Press.
Witkin, L. Stanley (ed.) (2012). Social Construction and Social Work Practice: Interpretation and Innovations. NY: Columbia University Press